ATTORNEY DECKET NO. 062986.0205 15-4-1092.00

_

QUEUE CIRCUIT AND METHOD FOR MEMORY ARBITRATION EMPLOYING SAME



FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates generally to shared memory computer systems and more particularly relates to systems and methods for performing memory access arbitration among transactions in an arbitration queue.

10

15

20

25

30

ATTORNEY DESET NO. 062986.0205 15-4-1092.00

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Multiprocessor computer architectures are known in the art and are recognized as overcoming limitations of single processor systems in terms of processing speed and Typically, such multiprocessor transaction throughput. "shared memory" systems where multiple systems are processors on a bus, or a number of busses, share a Ιn some shared memory global memory. single multiprocessor systems, memory is uniformly accessible to each processor, which simplifies the task of dynamic load Processing of complex tasks can then be distribution. distributed among various processors in the multiprocessor system while data used in the processing substantially equally available to each of processors undertaking any portion of the complex task. Similarly, programmers writing code for typical shared memory systems do not need to be concerned with issues of data partitioning, as each of the processors has access to and shares the same, consistent global memory.

Many multi-processor systems suffer disadvantages in system bandwidth and scalability are multiprocessor systems may be capable Although executing many millions of instructions per second, the shared memory resources and the system bus connecting the multiprocessors to the memory presents a bottleneck as loads are spread among processing processors, each needing access to the global memory. more processors are added to a system to perform complex the demand for memory access also increases. However, at some point, adding more processors does not faster processing, necessarily translate into typical systems are not fully scalable. The decrease in performance is generally due to the bottleneck created by

062986.0205 15-4-1092.00

ATTORNEY DOKET NO.

_ _

the increased number of processors needing access to the memory and the transport mechanism, e.g. bus, to and from

Alternative architectures are known which seek to bandwidth constraints. such architectures based on Cache Coherent Non-Uniform Memory the are known in art. (CCNUMA) typically characterized as having architectures are Generally, CCNUMA machines distributed global memory. include a number of processing nodes which are connected through a high bandwidth, low latency interconnection network. The processing nodes will generally include one or more high-performance processors, associated cache, and a portion of a global shared memory. coherence, i.e., the consistency and integrity of shared data stored in multiple caches, is typically maintained by a directory-based, write-invalidate cache coherency protocol, as known in the art. To determine the status of caches, each processing node typically has a directory memory corresponding to its respective portion of the For each line or discrete shared physical memory. addressable block of memory, the directory memory stores an indication of remote nodes that are caching that same line.

One known implementation of the CCNUMA architecture is known as "DASH" (Directory Architecture for Shared memory), developed at the Computer Systems Laboratory at Stanford University. The DASH architecture, described in the Directory-Based Cache Coherence Protocol for the DASH Multiprocessor, Lenoski et al., Proceedings of the 14th Int'l Symp. Computer Architecture, IEEE CS Press, 1990, pp. 148-159, which is incorporated herein by reference, consists of a number of processing nodes connected

5

10

15

20

25

30

10

15

20

25

30

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 062986.0205 15-4-1092.00

4

through a high-bandwidth, low-latency interconnection network. As is typical in CCNUMA machines, the physical memory is distributed among the nodes of the multiprocessor, with all memory accessible to each node. Each processing node consists of: a small number of high-performance processors; their respective individual caches; a portion of the shared-memory; a common cache for pending remote accesses; and a directory controller interfacing the node to the network.

The DASH system places a significant burden relating to memory consistency on the software developed for the In effecting memory consistency in the DASH CCNUMA architecture, of а implementation consistency" model is implemented, which is characterized in that memory operations issued by a given processor are allowed to be observed and completed out of order with to other processors. Ordering of operations is only effected under limited circumstances. of variables in memory is left the Protection software for the DASH developing programmer as under the DASH release consistency multiprocessor, model the hardware only ensures that memory operations are completed prior to releasing a lock on the pertinent Accordingly, the release consistency model for memory consistency in DASH is a weakly ordered model. Ιt accepted that the DASH model for generally is implementing memory correctness significantly complicates programming and cache coherency.

A problem in multi-processor, shared memory systems is that memory access among the multiple processors must be controlled in a manner such that data read from and written to memory does not become corrupted or incoherent. Because the multiple processors may seek to

ATTORNEY DOMET NO. 062986.0205 15-4-1092.00

5

perform conflicting operations on memory locations, such as simultaneously read from and write to a particular location, it is imperative that a memory management scheme be employed. Memory arbitration schemes for performing such memory and cache management are known. For example, a basic arbitration scheme may simply involve a first in-first out (FIFO) buffer which manages memory access by always giving priority to the oldest entry in the buffer.

5

10

15

20

While a FIFO scheme is effective at avoiding memory conflicts, it does have attendant disadvantages. For example, the type of operation in the respective buffer entries is not given any weight in this arbitration scheme. As a result, it is possible to have alternating read and write requests throughout the buffer which, as they are serviced in turn, require the memory bus to be frequently "turned around" (changed from read to write) which is a time consuming and inefficient operation. Another disadvantage is that if the resource required to service the oldest entry in the buffer is unavailable during the current cycle, all other operations must still wait there turn in the FIFO buffer even if all conditions to perform their respective operations are satisfied. Thus, system latency increases in such a system.

25

Accordingly, as processors become faster and multiprocessor shared memory systems become more complex, there is a growing need for improved systems and methods for memory management including new arbitration schemes and circuits.

10

15

20

25

30

ATTORNEY DEET NO. 062986.0205 15-4-1092.00

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

It is an object of the invention to provide a system for management of distributed shared memory which provide enhanced performance with respect to system bandwidth and latency.

It is a further object of the present invention to provide a memory arbitration scheme which reduces memory bus turn around while not adversely effecting system latency.

It is yet another object of the present invention to provide an arbitration queue where entries can be serviced from any point in the queue and that higher order entries ripple down to fill the voids in the queue created by previously serviced entries.

In accordance with the present method of memory arbitration in a system including shared system memory, submitting memory and at least one processor the the arbitration transactions to system memory, process includes placing memory transactions in entries The status of the entries with in an arbitration queue. respect to the cache is determined prior to selecting a transaction to be serviced from the queue. Entries are then selected to participate in arbitration based at least in part upon the cache status. For example, if the transaction status is invalid, that transaction cannot be serviced until a write back from cache to system memory is complete. If the status indicates a cache hit, that entry can participate in arbitration and, if selected, can be serviced from cache.

In accordance with another aspect of the present method of memory arbitration, before conducting arbitration the entries in the arbitration queue are grouped according to at least one transaction parameter.

10

15

20

25

30

ATTORNEY DEKET NO. 062986.0205 15-4-1092.00

Arbitration can then proceed among the groups to select one group of entries for servicing. From the selected group, transactions are preferably serviced from oldest to newest. Preferably, the transaction parameters are selected to optimize bandwidth and latency. Parameters can include memory bank, write to bank, read from bank, read, write and the like.

In the present arbitration methods, transactions can be serviced from any location in the arbitration queue. As a result, openings at intermediate positions in the queue can occur. To efficiently utilize the full capacity of the queue, a collapsible queue arrangement can be used.

In accordance with one embodiment of a collapsible arbitration queue, a number of registers corresponding to the number of entries in the queue are employed. plurality of 2:1 multiplexers are interposed between the registers such that one multiplexer is interposed between a higher order register and a subsequent register with the output of the higher order register being coupled to a first input of the one multiplexer and the output of the subsequent register being coupled to a second input of the one multiplexer. An output of the one multiplexer is coupled to the subsequent register and a Mux control line is coupled to the one multiplexer to direct the contents of one of the first and second multiplexer inputs to the multiplexer output. In this way, multiplexer select line associated with the higher order register and subsequent register determines whether the refreshed with its register is subsequent contents or receives the contents of the higher order register.

ATTORNEY DECET NO. 062986.0205 15-4-1092.00

PATENT APPLICATION

8

These and other objects and features of the invention will become apparent from the detailed description of preferred embodiments in conjunction with the accompanying drawings.

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 062986.0205 15-4-1092.00

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

For a more complete understanding of the present invention, reference is made to the detailed description taken in connection with the accompanying drawings, in which:

Figure 1A is a block diagram of a portion of a multiprocessor computer system having shared memory;

Figure 1B is a block diagram further illustrating an exemplary arrangement of the X and Y memory systems depicted in Figure 1A;

Figures 2A-2C are pictorial diagrams illustrating an arbitration queue arrangement for use in the present memory management and arbitration systems and methods;

Figure 3 is a simplified schematic diagram illustrating a portion of a collapsible queue structure for use in the present memory management and arbitration systems and methods;

Figure 4 is a pictorial diagram illustrating exemplary fields in the arbitration queue entries of Figures 2A-2C;

Figure 5 is a state machine diagram illustrating cyclical status change possibilities for entries in the arbitration queue awaiting successful arbitration;

Figure 6 is a table illustrating an exemplary DRAM direction arbitration policy table; and

Figure 7 is a flow chart illustrating an overview of an exemplary arbitration cycle in accordance with the present memory management methods.

10

5

15

20

25

10

15

20

25

30

ATTORNEY DEAET NO. 062986.0205 15-4-1092.00

10

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

Figure 1A is a block diagram of a portion of an exemplary multi-processor, distributed shared memory computer system architecture. The system 100 includes a hub 110 which has a processor interface circuit 120 and a memory/directory interface circuit 130. The system further includes two processors (CPU) 140a, 140b which are coupled to the hub 110 via the processor interface circuit 120. Also provided are a memory system X 150, and memory system Y 160 which are coupled to the hub 110 and are accessible by the processors 140a, 140b via the memory interface 130. Preferably, the memory systems are formed with high speed DRAM.

Preferably the memory interface 130 includes, or has The use of cache in servicing access to, cache memory. memory transactions from the processors 140a, 140b (and large, multi-processor (not shown) in arrangements) significantly improves the system bandwidth and overall processing efficiency. The term transaction is broadly used to include any and all accesses to system memory, including but not limited to reads, writes, write backs from cache and the like. The size and arrangement of the cache can take on any known arrangement which is compatible with the X memory system 150 and Y memory In an exemplary embodiment, the cache is system 160. formed as a 32 Kilobyte write back cache which has 1024 (1K) cache lines which can hold 8096 (8K) entries, where each line fills 32 bytes. The cache is 8 way associative with a seven bit index. The seven bit index is added to the three bits for directory (8 lines per entry) and 7 bits for cache data which results in 17 bits. bit memory address system, there are 19 bits remaining for a tag.

Dagaya+ ozeobi

5

10

15

20

25

30

The exemplary cache can be built as a 128 word by 160 bit REGISTER file. Three bits of Most Recently Used way number are appended to the 160 Bits to make a total of 163 bits in width, which can be split if desired. When a tag is checked, all 160 bits are read and are compared in eight separate comparators to the value being checked. If one of the tags matches, another entry is not made so as to avoid having two matching entries.

Generally, the hub 110 also includes various interface ports such as an X I/O port 170, a Non-Uniform Memory Access (NUMA) link 180 and port interconnection to other hubs 190, which can take the form of an X I/O port, a NUMA port or other interface port.

Figure 1B further illustrates the arrangement of the X and Y memory systems. The memory is generally organized in a number of banks which can be independently accessed by the memory interface 130. For example, 1B an arrangement is illustrated where Gigabytes of DRAM memory is partitioned as four Gigabyte banks. The memory address requires 36 bits in this case, with two of the bits, such as bits 35:34, operating as a bank select and the remaining bits (33:0) addressing the individual locations within each bank. this arrangement, even if one bank is busy servicing a memory request, the remaining banks remain available for a contemporaneous service request.

The present memory arbitration system employs what is referred to herein as a collapsible arbitration queue 200 which is illustrated in the schematic diagrams of Figures 2A-C and 3. The arbitration queue 200 is formed with a number of interconnected registers which maintains an oldest to newest relationship among queue entries

10

15

20

25

30

ATTORNEY DET NO. 062986.0205 15-4-1092.00

without operating in a strict FIFO manner. Referring to Figure 2A, an exemplary arbitration queue structure is illustrated having sixty-four (64) entry registers 205 Upon initialization, the arbitration queue is cleared such that each register is in an "idle" state. The idle state indicates that the register is available to be written into. When a memory transaction entry arrives from a processor, the entry 210 enters the arbitration queue 200 at the highest order register, in this case, register no. 63. Initially, each lower order queue entry, e.g. 0-62, is in the idle state. result, entry 210 advances one register location in the queue during each arbitration cycle until it is either serviced or reaches the bottom of the queue at register New memory transaction entries arriving after entry 210 advance in the queue in a like manner until the queue is full.

The transactions in the queue can win arbitration and be serviced from any queue register location. The arbitration queue 200 is considered "collapsible" in that when a particular entry wins arbitration and is serviced, the state of that location in the queue is changed to the idle state and in the next cycle all higher entries in the queue 200 ripple down, thereby increasing in priority.

Figure 2B illustrates the arbitration queue 200 when the arbitration queue 200 has a number of entries 210-290. Entry 270, which is located at an intermediate queue position, i.e. location 60, wins arbitration and is serviced. In this case, location 60 in the queue 200 is placed in the idle state. As illustrated in Figure 2C, during the cycle following the servicing of entry 270, entries 280 and 290 are advanced in the queue from

10

15

20

25

30

locations 62, 61 to locations 61, 60 respectively, thus collapsing the queue. If queue register location 63 contained an entry prior to this cycle, that entry would also advance thereby making register 63 available for the receipt of a new transaction to the queue. Thus, while any queue entry may be serviced based on a successful arbitration, the remaining entries, while advancing in priority, maintain an oldest to newest relationship.

Figure 3 is a simplified schematic diagram of a portion of the arbitration queue 200 which illustrates the manner in which the collapsible queue feature can be implemented. The diagram illustrates three of the 64 registers which were used in the exemplary queue structure of Figures 2A-C. The registers 310, 320 and cascaded with 2:1 multiplexers 340, The output of register 310 is interposed there between. coupled to a first input of multiplexer 340 and the output of the multiplexer 340 is coupled to the input of register 320. The output of register 320 is coupled to a second input of multiplexer 340. A multiplexer (Mux.) select line 360 controls the multiplexer 340 and this signal determines whether register 320 will be refreshed with its own current contents (no change) or if register 320 will be loaded with the contents of the preceding higher order register 310. When register 320, or a lower order register, such as register 330, is in the idle state, the memory interface 130 or other appropriate controller sets the Mux select line 360 such that the contents of the higher order registers (with respect to the lower order register in the idle state) are written into the lower order registers during the next cycle.

As noted in connection with Figures 2 A-C and Figure 3, entries can win arbitration and be serviced from any

10

15

20

25

30

ATTORNEY DESET NO. 062986.0205 15-4-1092.00

14

In order to facilitate the location in the queue. efficient arbitration and servicing of entries in the arbitration queue 200, the present memory management system performs two operations. First, prior the transactions in the status of arbitration, the arbitration queue with respect to the cache (e.g., hit, miss, victim) are monitored and updated. Second, rather than performing arbitration strictly from oldest to newest among various transaction types, the transactions are grouped in accordance with a transaction parameter. Arbitration then takes place among these groups and from the selected group, transactions are serviced from oldest to newest.

Figure 4 is a schematic diagram which serves to illustrate the various fields of information which are typically included in each entry of the arbitration queue For example, the queue will include a Transaction field 410, which is the primary contents of the register and holds the transaction awaiting servicing or directory field, access. In addition to the transaction Operation Ready field 420 may also be included. This field can be as simple as a one bit flag indicating that all conditions precedent to the transaction (e.g., data ready) are satisfied and that the transaction can proceed in turn.

As noted in connection with Figure 1B, the system memory is generally organized in a number of banks. Therefore, a bank free field 430 can be provided to provide a single bit status indication that the targeted bank of system memory (DRAM) for the corresponding transaction is available. This field can change from cycle to cycle for each entry. Generally, this bit must

10

15

20

25

30

ATTORNEY DEET NO. 062986.0205 15-4-1092.00

15

be set, indicating that the bank is available, before that transaction is ready for arbitration.

A Chain OK field 440 can also be included to provide an indication that other transactions in the arbitration queue targeting the same memory address.

A Read/Write Bit field 450 can also be provided to indicate whether the transaction for an arbitration queue entry is a read operation or a write operation. As noted previously, it is inefficient to turn the direction of the memory bus around from read to write on a frequent basis. The R/W Bit field 450 provides an efficient manner of identifying like transactions for grouping as a common operation type. The R/W field 450 only depends on the contents of the transaction field and, therefore, remains constant for the entry.

The arbitration queue entries may also include a State field 460 to indicate the status of the transaction with respect to the cache contents (e.g., hit, miss, An aspect of the present arbitration scheme is victim). that at the start of the arbitration cycle, the address targeted for each transaction in the arbitration queue is subjected to а comparison with look-up a representative of the current contents of the cache. result of this look-up operation is that each entry in the arbitration queue 200 can be designated as one of a hit, miss, or victim state prior to arbitration. state indicates that the targeted DRAM contents currently in cache. A miss state indicates that the targeted DRAM contents are currently resident in DRAM and that there are no pending write backs to this DRAM A victim state indicates that the targeted DRAM contents were in Cache, but a write back is pending in a write back queue (not shown) and neither the data in

10

15

20

25

30

ATTORNEY KET NO. 062986.0205 15-4-1092.00

cache or DRAM is clean until the write back to system memory is complete. Those entries which are in the victim state cannot be serviced, and therefore, will not participate in a current arbitration cycle.

The State field 460 can change from cycle to cycle depending on the transactions that are serviced during each cycle. Figure 5 is a diagram illustrating the manner in which the states can change. When the current state of the entry is a hit 510, this entry will either remain a hit if the cache line containing the targeted DRAM contents remains unaltered or can move to the victim state 520 if the cache line has been used by a previous transaction and a write back to the targeted DRAM location is pending. Once in the victim state 520, the entry remains in this state until the cycle after the write back to system memory is complete. When the write back is complete and the DRAM can be accessed to service the transaction, the state advances to the miss state The entry will remain in the miss state 500 until the transaction wins arbitration and is serviced by a DRAM access or a prior transaction results in the targeted DRAM contents being brought into the cache thereby changing the state to the hit state 510.

The present arbitration scheme employs what is called a reverse arbitration process. Rather than servicing transactions merely from oldest to newest, the present arbitration scheme first groups transactions in the arbitration queue by some transaction parameter criteria. Arbitration is then conducted among the groups of transactions and the oldest transaction in the group winning arbitration is then serviced. Any number of transaction parameter criteria can be used to establish transaction groups. However, to minimize latency and

10

15

20

25

30

ATTORNEY DEKET NO. 062986.0205 15-4-1092.00

17

maximize system bandwidth, grouping transactions by DRAM Bank, Writes to Bank, and Reads to Bank are particularly useful.

Once the transactions are grouped, arbitration among those groups ready for servicing (e.g., bank is ready, operation is ready, not in victim state) arbitration based on a policy associated with the memory The arbitration policy will generally interface 130. favor conducting a number of reads in sequence followed by a number of writes in order to minimize the number of times the memory bus needs to be turned around. Figure 6 is a table which illustrates an exemplary DRAM direction In this table, the first three arbitration policy. columns, Read 600, Write 610, Urgent Write 620 indicate information derived in a cycle in advance of arbitration about which operations will be desired in the following An urgent write can occur, cycles arbitration. example, if a number of transactions in the arbitration queue are in the victim state and the pending write backs need to be serviced in order to allow those transactions to become serviceable. The next two columns, Follows Read 630, Follows Write 640, indicate the preceding The next column, Direction operation's direction. Threshold 650, indicates that a predetermined number of the preceding operation have been performed. example, this flag may be set after a certain number of consecutive reads or a number of consecutive writes have occurred. The final column, Arbitrate For 660, indicates whether the next arbitration will be for a read or a write operation, assuming of course that operations of both types are pending in the arbitration queue or write back queue and are ready for service.

10

15

20

25

ATTORNEY KET NO. 062986.0205 15-4-1092.00

18

The present memory arbitration features which have been described above are summarized in the flow chart of When entries are present in the arbitration queue 200, the entries are evaluated to determine their status with respect to the cache, i.e., to determine whether the transaction in that entry will hit a cache entry or not or is a victim (step 710). This status is stored in association with the arbitration queue entries. Also prior to arbitration, the entries in the arbitration queue are grouped by a transaction parameter (step 720). Such parameters may include bank, read to bank, write to bank, read or write, as examples. Once the groups are identified, arbitration takes place among those groups which are ready for arbitration (step 730). From the group that wins arbitration, entries are serviced from oldest to newest (step 740). Using the arbitration queue structure of Figures 2 A-C and Figure 3, this can be accomplished simply by selecting the lowest order entry in the queue 200 in the selected group. After transaction in the queue is serviced, that queue entry is marked as idle (step 750). In the following cycle, the arbitration queue is "collapsed" by moving all higher order queue entries with respect to an idle entry down in the queue (step 760). This opens the highest order queue positions (the back of the queue) for new entries and maintains the oldest to newest relationship among the entries remaining in the arbitration queue 200. the next arbitration cycle, the process returns to step 710.

Grouping of entries may be done in several dimensions. The groups may also be overlapping as entries may fall into more than one group. An entry may get serviced first as part of one group than as part of

10

15

20

25

30

ATTORNEY DEET NO. 062986.0205 15-4-1092.00

another group. Arbitration may be performed over a large The arbitration queue is updated based number of groups. of entries with respect changes status Whenever the cache contents different groups. changed, entries in the arbitration queue are most likely affected and their individual hit/miss/victim status and group categorization will need to be updated. arbitration queue is changed whenever an old entry is serviced and a new entry enters. When a write back is completed, a victim entry needs to be updated to its appropriate classification.

The present systems and methods provide an efficient way of servicing transactions to shared memory. according to a transaction transactions parameter prior to arbitration in age order, the number turnarounds is minimized without adding bus by evaluating the status In addition, latency. transactions in an arbitration queue with respect to the cache contents and regularly updating this status, DRAM bandwidth is improved as wasted cycles are avoided. grouping transactions and following an arbitration policy which services entries from an arbitration queue outside of a strict age order, openings in middle of arbitration queue occur regularly. To insure full queue utilization, a collapsible queue structure and control method are provided.

Thus, it is apparent that there has been provided, in accordance with the present invention, a system and method of managing an arbitration queue having a plurality of queue entries are provided that satisfy the advantages set forth above. Although the present systems and methods have been described in connection with several embodiments thereof, it is understood that

ATTORNEY DEKET NO. 062986.0205 15-4-1092.00



20

numerous changes and modifications can be effected by those skilled in the art and it is intended that the present invention encompasses such changes and modifications as fall within the scope and spirit of the appended claims.